

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS UBER
TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND
OTTOMOTTO LLC'S MOTION FOR
RELIEF FROM AND EMERGENCY
MOTION FOR STAY OF NON-
DISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL ORDER
OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE (DKT.
951)**

Trial Date: October 10, 2017

Upon consideration of Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC’s (collectively, “Defendants”) Motion for Relief from and Emergency Motion for Stay of Non-Dispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 951) pursuant to Local Rule 72-2, and having given Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) an opportunity to respond, the Court hereby GRANTS Defendants’ Motion.

[IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Corley's July 19, 2017 Order Re:
Waymo's Motion to Compel is STAYED with respect to Plaintiff's Requests for Production Nos.
30, 98, 99, 110, 145 and Interrogatories Nos. 24, 25 (Dkt. 951 at 1:21-25), pending the Court's
resolution of Uber's Motion for Relief.]

10 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Corley's July 19, 2017 Order Re:
11 Waymo's Motion to Compel is VACATED with respect to Plaintiff's Requests for Production
12 Nos. 30, 98, 99, 110, 145 and Interrogatories Nos. 24, 25 (Dkt. 951 at 1:21-25), and Plaintiff
13 Waymo's Motion to Compel (Dkt. 879) is DENIED with respect to Plaintiff's Requests for
14 Production Nos. 30, 98, 99, 110, 145 and Interrogatories Nos. 24, 25.

16 || IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 | Dated: , 2017

HONORABLE WILLIAM ALSUP
United States District Judge